

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ustro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,800	12/07/2001	Jae-Duck Lee	678-706 (P9742) 7307 EXAMINER	
28249	7590 03/21/2005			
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			DOAN, KIET M	
	OVINGTON BLVD. E, NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
00	_,		2683	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/010,800	LEE, JAE-DUCK			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kiet Doan	2683			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🛛	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 October 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2001</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on October 26, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Soliman (Patent No. 6,321,090) and Otsuka et al. (Patent No.6,741,859) references. This action is made FINAL

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 26, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument in claims 1, 3 and 7 that references fail to teach searching FAs of adjacent stations excluding a base station currently engaged in communication with the mobile terminal. Examiner respectfully disagrees, and further cited in Soliman (Patent No. 6,321,090) teaches searching FAs of adjacent stations excluding a base station currently engaged in communication with the mobile terminal (C4, L15-66, Fig.1, Illustrate No.20 as adjacent stations to a excluding base station No.18 currently engaged in communication with the mobile terminal No.26 and when base station No.18 with link No.28 is drop and create new link means as excluding a base station No.18 currently engaged in communication with the mobile terminal).

Therefore, examiner interpreted searching FAs of adjacent stations excluding a base station currently engaged in communication with the mobile terminal is a broadest reasonable and it is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,800 Page 3

Art Unit: 2683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soliman (Patent No. 6,321,090) in view of Otsuka et al. (Patent No. 6,741,859).

Consider claim 1 and 4, Soliman teaches a method for performing a hard handoff in a cellular mobile communication system, the method comprising step of: setting an
area of services covered with a frequency assignment (FA) unavailable to adjacent
stations to be a boundary cell (C4, L15-66, Fig.1, No.20, No.24 Illustrate as area of
services covered with a frequency assignment (FA) unavailable to adjacent stations to
be a boundary cell, and Col 7, Lines 12-39, Fig. 3 No. 88 teach border cell/No. 72, 74,
76, 78, 80, 82 as adjacent station with frequency unavailable). Soliman fail to teaches a
method for performing a hard hand-off in a cellular mobile communication system, the
method comprising the steps of: entering into the set boundary cell by a mobile
communication terminal during communication; and searching FAs of adjacent stations
excluding a base station currently engaged in communication with said mobile
communication terminal so as to determine a target FA, with which the mobile
communication terminal is to perform the hard hand-off.

In an analogous art, Otsuka teaches "CDMA mobile communication system accommodating increased number of mobile stations". Further, Otsuka disclosed a method for performing a hard hand-off in a cellular mobile communication system (Col 11, Lines 46-51, Fig. 12) the method comprising the steps of: entering into the set boundary cell by a mobile communication terminal during communication (Col 14, Lines 5-17, Col 16, Lines 43-50 both teach move forward and establish a call such as entering the boundary cell/service are) and searching FAs of adjacent stations excluding a base station currently engaged in communication with said mobile communication terminal so as to determine a target FA, with which the mobile communication terminal is to perform the hard hand-off (Col 10, Lines 15-19, Col 16, Lines 40-56 teach search/mobile communication perform hard hand-off).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made that person having ordinary skill in the art to include, within Soliman system, CDMA mobile communication, as taught by Otsuka to provide the system that preventing deterioration of call qualities and allowing the users making longer time call in the boundary cell.

Consider **claims 2 and 5**, Otsuka further disclosed wherein the target FA is determined by the mobile communication terminal through searching common FAs of adjacent stations to perform the hard hand-off therewith (Col 11, Lines 43-51, Col 12 Lines 37-41 both teach frequency searching station to perform hard hand-off).

Art Unit: 2683

Consider claims 3 and 6, Otsuka further disclosed wherein determination of the target FA includes a step of recognizing that the mobile communication terminal is currently engaged in communication in the boundary cell, and commanding the mobile communication terminal to search FAs of the adjacent stations excluding the base station currently engaged in communication with said mobile communication terminal by means of a base station controller (BSC), which controls the hand-off of the mobile communication terminal (Col 12, Lines 37-41, Col 13, Line 42-58, Fig. 2 teach base station controller control the hard hand-off).

Consider claim 7, Otsuka teaches a method for performing a hard hand-off in a cellular mobile communication system including at least two base stations for providing a mobile communication terminal with services by having service areas that share at least one FA and can be overlapped (Col 13, Lines 30-38 teach Frequency overlapped) and a base station controller (BSC) for controlling the hand-off of the mobile communication terminal (Col 13, Lines 42-58 teach BSC controlling the hand-off) the method comprising the steps of: setting an area of services covered by an FA unavailable to adjacent stations to be a boundary cell (Fig. 1 between point c and d using RF2 showing frequency unavailable) connecting communication with a first sector and a second sector by a softer hand-off while the mobile communication terminal moves from the first sector to the second sector of the base station currently engaged in communication with said mobile communication terminal (Col 11, Lines 58-67, Fig. 1 teach engages softer hand-off) recognizing the second sector to be the boundary cell,

Art Unit: 2683

and commanding the mobile communication terminal to search common FAs of the adjacent stations, except the base station currently engaged in communication, by the BSC (Col 12, Lines 31-41 teach mobile station found position such as search common FA adjacent station) searching common FAs of the adjacent stations by the mobile communication terminal in response to the command, and reporting the searched results to the BSC (Col 112, Lines 31-41, Col 14, Lines 35-42, Fig. 2 teach search frequency and report to BSC) determining a target FA, with which the BSC is to perform the hand-off by using the searched results if conditions for performing the hard hand-off are satisfied (Col 16, Lines 31-67 teach BSC perform the hand-off) and performing the hard hand-off with the determined target FA by disconnecting the communication with the first sector and the second sector from the mobile communication terminal under a command of the BSC (Col 16, Lines 60-65, Col 17, Lines 1-12 both teach trigger/stop transmission such as disconnecting communication).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/010,800

Art Unit: 2683

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 703-305-4749.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kiet Doan

Patent Examiner

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Page 7